1	ANDREW R. LIVINGSTON (State Bar No. 148646)	
2	alivingston@orrick.com ERIN M. CONNELL (State Bar No. 223355)	
3	econnell@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP The Owigh Duilding	
4	The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street	
5	San Francisco, CA 94105-2669 Telephone: +1-415-773-5700 Facsimile: +1-415-773-5759	
6	Attorneys for Defendants	
7	Chase Home Finance, LLC (on behalf of itself and as successor in interest to Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corporation) and	
8	James Boudreau	ration) and
9	LIMITED CTATEC	DISTRICT COLLDT
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SOUTHERN DISTR	ICI OF CALIFORNIA
12	CHRISTOPHER CLARK and JAMES	Case No. 08 CV 0500 JM RBB
13	RENICK, individuals,	DEFENDANTS CHASE HOME
14	Plaintiffs,	FINANCE, LLC, CHASE MANHATTAN MORTGAGE
15	v.	CORPORATION AND JAMES BOUDREAU'S NOTICE OF MOTION
16	CHASE HOME FINANCE, LLC, a Delaware LLC doing business in California; CHASE	AND MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS PURSUANT TO
17	MANHATTAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation	RULE 12(c) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR
18	doing business in California; JAMES BOUDREAU, an individual; and DOES 1-25,	PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 56
19	Defendants.	Date: May 30, 2008
20	Defendants.	Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: 16
21		Judge: The Hon. Jeffrey T. Miller
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

TO PLAINTIFFS CHRISTOPHER CLARK AND JAMES RENICK AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 30, 2008 at 1:30 p.m., or as soon as this matter may be heard in the above-entitled Court, located at the Edward J. Schwartz U.S. Courthouse, 940 Front Street, San Diego, California 92101-8900, before the Honorable Jeffrey T. Miller, Defendants Chase Home Finance, LLC ("Chase") (on behalf of itself and as successor in interest to Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corporation ("Chase Mortgage")) and James Boudreau ("Boudreau") (collectively, "Defendants") will and do hereby move this Court, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c), for judgment on the pleadings in their favor on the First Amended Complaint (the "FAC") of plaintiffs Christopher Clark ("Clark") and James Renick ("Renick") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and such other relief as may be just.

This motion is made on the ground Clark undisputedly released all six of causes of action he asserts in the FAC, as is evident from Exhibit A to Defendants' Answer. Further, Plaintiffs' third cause of action for statutory penalties for failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, and Plaintiffs' sixth cause of action for civil penalties under California's Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), are both subject to a one-year statute of limitations, and therefore are time barred. Accordingly, as is evident from the pleadings alone, from documents incorporated into the pleadings, and from documents properly subject to judicial notice, there is no triable issue of material fact and Defendants are entitled to judgment as a matter of law as to all six of Clark's claims, and as to Clark's and Renick's third and sixth causes of action, as more fully set forth in the accompanying memorandum of points and authorities in support of the motion.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, on the same date and at the same time,
Defendants will and do hereby move in the alternative, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
Procedure 56, for partial summary judgment of all six of Clark's causes of action, and of Clark's
and Renick's third and sixth causes of action. This alternative motion is made in the event that
the Court relies upon extrinsic evidence when ruling on the motion, and is made on the same legal
grounds set forth above as to Defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings, as more fully set

1 forth in the accompanying memorandum of points and authorities in support of the motion. 2 This motion is based on this Notice, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in 3 Support of the Motion, the accompanying Declaration of Erin M. Connell and exhibits thereto, 4 and the Request for Judicial Notice, all of which are served and filed herewith; the pleadings on 5 file in this action, any matters upon which the Court may or must take judicial notice; any 6 argument presented at the hearing on the motion, and any other matters the Court deems proper. 7 In addition to the documents listed herein, Defendants' alternative motion for partial summary 8 judgment also is based on the Declaration of Janette Gochan and exhibits thereto. 9 10 Dated: April 24, 2008 ANDREW R. LIVINGSTON ERIN M. CONNELL 11 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 12 13 By:_ Erin M. Connell 14 Attorneys for Defendants Chase Home Finance, LLC (on behalf of itself 15 and as successor in interest to Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corporation) 16 and James Boudreau 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

- 2 -